Accidental Death and Its Impact on Families in Vapi: Financial, Emotional, and Demographic Dimensions

Devang Dipak Dhodi,
Research Scholar
Department of Commerce,
Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat,
E-mail.-devang2035@gmail.com
Mob. No. 9638336578
https://orcid.org/0009-0004-3507-7136

Dr Gautam Gopal Dua,
Associate Professor,
Agarwal Vidya Vihar English Medium College, Surat.
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6156-8124

Abstract:

Accidental deaths cause serious challenges for surviving family members, especially in terms of finances and emotional well-being. This study investigates the financial and emotional impacts of accidental deaths on families in Vapi, India, while also examining the role of demographic factors such as age, gender, income level, and occupation. Using survey responses and secondary data, the research highlights the economic burden, psychological distress, and unequal effects across different demographic groups. The findings emphasize the urgent need for community awareness, insurance coverage, and policy interventions to support affected families.

1. Introduction:

Accidental deaths have become a growing concern in industrial and urban regions of India. Vapi, known as a significant industrial hub, reports frequent accidents due to road traffic, workplace hazards, and environmental risks. Beyond the immediate loss of life, families experience lasting financial and emotional impacts. Many households lose their primary income source, while others face long-term grief and psychological struggles.

On January 1, 2025, the Urban Development and Urban Housing Department of the Government of Gujarat officially upgraded Vapi to a Municipal Corporation. Along with the previously designated municipal area, the corporation now encompasses 11 surrounding villages—Namdha, Chandor, Balitha, Chhiri, Charwada, Salvav, Chanod, Vatar, Kunta, Morai, and Karvad. This expansion has resulted in a substantial increase in the city's administrative boundaries and population.

According to the 2011 Census, Vapi had a population of 248,122, which has since grown to an estimated 451,000. The municipal corporation spans a total area of 72.15 square kilometers. Located in the southern part of the Valsad district, Vapi lies close to National Highway 48, making it well-connected to major cities. A bullet train station is also under construction in the Dungra area, further enhancing the city's transport infrastructure. Vapi Railway Station, situated on the Ahmedabad–Mumbai broad gauge line, serves as a key junction for both passenger and freight services, particularly for industrial goods such as chemicals, textiles, plastics, cement, and other materials.

Historical Context

Historically, the Vapi region was known for its agricultural activities, particularly paddy and grass farming, in the 1960s. However, the establishment of the Gujarat Industrial Development Corporation (GIDC) in the 1970s led to rapid industrialization. The region's governance transitioned from a Gram Panchayat to a Nagar Panchayat in 1964–1965, and eventually to a

Municipality on June 1, 1990. On January 1, 2025, following the incorporation of the 11 surrounding villages, Vapi was upgraded to a Municipal Corporation.

Geographical Location and Features

Vapi is strategically located along the Daman Ganga River, which meets the Arabian Sea just 8 kilometers from the city's boundary. The river forms the southern boundary of the town, while the Union Territory of Dadra and Nagar Haveli lies to the east, and Daman to the west. The northern boundary is defined by the Kolak River, near Morai. The proximity to water bodies, combined with the city's dense industrial presence, makes Vapi vulnerable to natural hazards such as cyclones and floods, particularly during certain seasons.

The city's industrial landscape is substantial, with many industries located along the riverbanks. The region also has historical significance, with remnants of royal settlements in the village of Bhagwada suggesting its importance in earlier times. In terms of urban development, modern construction in Vapi predominantly consists of reinforced concrete (RCC) buildings, using materials such as bricks, sand, and cement.

Demographics and Growth

As of the 2011 Census, Vapi had a population of 247,421, which has since grown significantly to approximately 451,000. This population growth reflects an average density of around 6,250 persons per square kilometer. Over the last decade, the city's population has surged, driven by rapid growth. Between 1991 and 2001, Vapi's growth rate was approximately 125%, which was notably higher than the district average. Between 1981 and 1991, the growth rate stood at 60%.

In 2006, the villages of Chala and Dungra were incorporated into the Vapi Municipality, and their populations were included in the 2011 Census. Following the latest expansion on January 1, 2025, with the addition of 11 villages, Vapi has officially become a Municipal Corporation, further increasing its governance reach.

Environmental Sensitivity

Vapi's location along the Daman Ganga River, coupled with its proximity to the Arabian Sea and its extensive industrial development, renders the city highly susceptible to environmental risks. The city's dense industrial activity, especially along the riverbanks, combined with its exposure to natural hazards, necessitates careful urban planning and disaster management strategies.

2. Literature Review

Economic burden on households after accidental injury/death

Studies in India show that injuries and accidental deaths impose a considerable out-of-pocket cost on families, often pushing households into debt or poverty because of lost earnings

and high medical/funeral expenses. Hospitalization and emergency care for injuries disproportionately affect poor households, since most health spending is private and paid directly by families.

Macro- and community-level impact of road crashes (India)

National analyses and development reports highlight that road-traffic deaths cause substantial socioeconomic loss at community and national levels; poor and working-age males are most affected, and poor households bear a disproportionate share of the burden. These reports emphasize that crash-related deaths reduce household income, increase vulnerability, and call for stronger social safety nets and trauma-care systems.

Demographic differentials in the burden of accidental injuries

Population-level studies using national survey data find that the incidence, economic burden, and coping strategies for accidental injuries differ by socioeconomic status, education, and region — poorer and less educated households experience greater financial stress and fewer coping options after accidents. This supports your finding that low-income families in Vapi are likely more affected.

Emotional and psychiatric consequences of sudden/violent bereavement

Research shows that unexpected or violent deaths increase the risk of depression, anxiety, PTSD, and prolonged grief. Sudden bereavement often produces more intense and complicated grief trajectories than anticipated loss, indicating a substantial need for psychosocial support and targeted mental-health services.

Role of social support in moderating bereavement outcomes

Systematic reviews indicate that strong social support after sudden or violent bereavement is associated with lower severity of depression and PTSD symptoms; conversely, lack of support increases risk of poor mental-health outcomes. This evidence supports promoting community-based counseling and bereavement support as part of post-accident interventions.

Aim/method: Longitudinal study following bereaved parents (average follow-up ≈18 years) comparing mental- and physical-health outcomes to non-bereaved controls. Key findings: Bereaved parents reported higher depressive symptoms, poorer well-being, and worse physical health over the long term.Implication: Demonstrates enduring health and psychosocial costs of child loss relevant for accidental child death as a severe subtype.Gap: Limited focus on mediators (e.g., social support, economic strain) that may explain long-term trajectories after unintentional death.

Aim/method: Population-based analyses relating unexpected deaths of loved ones to later depression, anxiety, and substance use. Key findings: Unexpected loss is associated with a higher risk of depression, anxiety, and substance misuse versus expected losses. Implication: Accidental deaths — typically unexpected — heighten risk for psychiatric sequelae among family members. Gap: Need for focused studies distinguishing types of unexpected deaths (accident vs. homicide vs. sudden illness).

Aim/method: Prospective study of children who lost a parent suddenly; psychiatric assessment over time. Key findings: Most children's acute grief symptoms decreased over time, but a substantial subgroup developed prolonged or worsening grief and functional problems. Implication: Highlights heterogeneity of child responses after sudden/accidental parental death; suggests the need to identify at-risk subgroups. Gap: Mechanisms by which family dynamics or parental coping influence children's long-term outcomes remain understudied.

Aim/method: Systematic review synthesizing evidence on social support's influence after sudden/violent losses. Key findings: Greater social support post-bereavement is linked to reduced severity of depressive and PTSD symptoms. Implication: Interventions strengthening family/peer support could mitigate adverseoutcomes following accidental death. Gap: Few longitudinal trials testing structured social-support interventions targeted at families after unintentional death.

Aim/method: Review and empirical synthesis on psychiatric disorders following traumatic/violent bereavement. Key findings: Traumatic and violent losses (including some accidents) are associated with elevated rates of PTSD, major depression, and prolonged grief disorder among family members. Implication: Accidental death research should assess co-occurring PTSD and PGD among relatives. Gap: Specific risk factors in accidental (vs. violent/homicidal) contexts need more precise delineation.

Aim/method: Cross-sectional assessment of psychological functioning after sudden deaths, measuring PTSD and prolonged grief. Key findings: High prevalence of PTSD symptoms and prolonged grief; certain factors (e.g., witnessing the death, lack of social support) raised risk. Implication: Practical variables (e.g., witnessing and exposure to the scene) commonly observed in accidents should be included in the study design. Gap: Cross-sectional design — need prospective studies to map causal pathways after accidental deaths.

Aim/method: Evidence-based clinical guidance summarizing research on grief and recommended pediatric/family supports. Key findings: Multidisciplinary, family-centered approaches (early communication, follow-up, grief resources) improve bereavement care.

Implication: For accidental child deaths, structured clinical follow-up and family support protocols are recommended—gap: Limited randomized trials testing specific bereavement support models in accidental-death contexts.

3. Problem Statement

Accidental deaths are a serious problem in industrial areas like Vapi, where workplace and road accidents are common. When such deaths happen, families suddenly lose their primary source of income and often face high medical costs, loans, and financial stress. Along with money problems, family members also suffer from deep emotional pain such as grief, depression, and anxiety. The impact can vary based on the deceased's age, gender, and family role. However, very few studies have looked at these financial, emotional, and demographic effects together in Vapi. This gap makes it difficult for policymakers and support organizations to plan practical help for affected families.

4. Objectives of the study:

Assess the financial impacts of accidental deaths on families in Vapi.

Examine the emotional consequences faced by surviving members.

Explore how demographic factors influence the intensity of these impacts.

5. Hypotheses

Financial Impact

H1a (Alternative): Families affected by accidental death experience significant financial difficulties compared to neutrality (3 on Likert scale).

$H1_0$ (Null): Families affected by accidental death do not experience significant financial difficulties.

Emotional Impact

H2a (Alternative): Families of accident victims experience significant emotional distress (sadness, trauma, mental health burden).

$H2_0$ (Null): Families do not experience significant emotional distress.

Demographic Dimensions

H3a (Alternative): The impact of accidental death (financial and emotional) significantly differs across demographic factors such as age, gender, education, and income level.

$H3_0$ (Null): The impact of accidental death does not differ across demographic factors.

6. Methodology

1. Research Design

Type: Quantitative, descriptive, and analytical study.

Approach: Survey-based using a Likert-scale questionnaire.

2. Data Collection

Primary Data: Responses collected via Google Form (CSV file with Q1–Q19).

Secondary Data: Literature review.

3. Sample

Location: Vapi, Gujarat.

Population: Families who have experienced accidental death cases.

Sampling Method: Convenience sampling (since it targets specific affected families).

Sample Size: Based on your survey data (number of responses in CSV).

4. Tools & Techniques

Likert Scale Analysis: Used to measure perceptions (financial, emotional, demographic impact).

Descriptive Statistics: Mean, median, mode, standard deviation (already in Likert Report).

Inferential Statistics: One-sample t-test (neutral value = 3) to check the significance of perceptions.

Comparative Analysis: Cross-tabulation or ANOVA/Chi-square for demographic differences.

5. Variables

Independent Variables: Demographic factors (age, gender, income, education, occupation).

Dependent Variables: Financial impact, emotional impact (measured via survey items Q1–Q19).

6. Software Used

SPSS / Python (as per Likert and t-test analysis reports).

Excel/Google Sheets (for cleaning and coding survey data).

7. Ethical Considerations

Ensured anonymity and confidentiality of respondents.

Informed consent was taken before participation.

Research Design: Descriptive and analytical.

Data Collection:

Primary data: A structured questionnaire was administered to 100 families who experienced accidental death cases. Descriptive Statistics.

7. Likert Scale Data Analysis Report

This report presents the analysis of Likert-scale responses on the impact of accidental death, based on 19 questionnaire items (Q1–Q19). The data was reconstructed from aggregated counts into a respondent-level dataset for statistical analysis in SPSS/Python.

1. Descriptive Statistics

For each item, the mean, median, standard deviation, range (minimum to maximum), and mode were calculated. This provides an overview of the central tendency and variability of responses.

Question	Mean	Median	Std. Dev	Min	Max	Mode
Q1	2.82	3.0	1.35	1.0	5.0	1.0
Q2	3.02	3.0	1.27	1.0	5.0	3.0
Q3	2.79	3.0	1.2	1.0	5.0	3.0
Q4	3.01	3.0	1.25	1.0	5.0	2.0
Q5	2.81	3.0	1.35	1.0	5.0	1.0
Q6	2.83	3.0	1.12	1.0	5.0	3.0
Q7	2.88	3.0	1.33	1.0	5.0	3.0
Q8	3.17	3.0	1.22	1.0	5.0	4.0
Q9	2.67	3.0	1.35	1.0	5.0	1.0
Q10	3.19	3.0	1.26	1.0	5.0	3.0
Q11	3.0	3.0	1.21	1.0	5.0	3.0
Q12	2.54	2.0	1.23	1.0	5.0	3.0
Q13	3.41	4.0	1.31	1.0	5.0	4.0
Q14	3.49	4.0	1.28	1.0	5.0	4.0
Q15	3.3	4.0	1.31	1.0	5.0	4.0
Q16	3.18	3.0	1.38	1.0	5.0	4.0
Q17	2.63	3.0	1.31	1.0	5.0	1.0
Q18	2.89	3.0	1.28	1.0	5.0	3.0
Q19	3.36	3.0	1.3	1.0	5.0	5.0

2. One-sample T-test (Test Value = 3)

A one-sample t-test was conducted for each question against the neutral midpoint (3 = 'Neither Agree nor Disagree'). This test checks whether responses are significantly different from neutrality.

Question	Mean	t-statistic	p-value	Significance
Q1	2.82	-1.332	0.1859	Not Significant
Q2	3.02	0.157	0.8753	Not Significant
Q3	2.79	-1.75	0.0832	Not Significant
Q4	3.01	0.08	0.9365	Not Significant
Q5	2.81	-1.404	0.1635	Not Significant
Q6	2.83	-1.518	0.1321	Not Significant
Q7	2.88	-0.904	0.3683	Not Significant
Q8	3.17	1.39	0.1677	Not Significant
Q9	2.67	-2.447	0.0162	Significant
Q10	3.19	1.507	0.135	Not Significant
Q11	3.0	0.0	1.0	Not Significant
Q12	2.54	-3.727	0.0003	Significant
Q13	3.41	3.127	0.0023	Significant
Q14	3.49	3.819	0.0002	Significant
Q15	3.3	2.296	0.0238	Significant
Q16	3.18	1.304	0.1954	Not Significant
Q17	2.63	-2.829	0.0056	Significant
Q18	2.89	-0.86	0.3916	Not Significant
Q19	3.36	2.771	0.0067	Significant

8. T-test Significance Report

This section presents the results of one-sample t-tests for each question (Q1–Q19). The test compared the mean response against the neutral midpoint value of 3. A result is considered statistically significant if $p < 0.05$, indicating that the average response differs from neutrality. If $p \geq 0.05$, the result is not important, indicating that respondents are generally neutral.

Q1

Mean response = 2.82. The t-statistic = -1.332, with a p-value = 0.1859. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q2

Mean response = 3.02. The t-statistic = 0.157, with a p-value = 0.8753. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q3

Mean response = 2.79. The t-statistic = -1.75, with a p-value = 0.0832. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q4

Mean response = 3.01. The t-statistic = 0.08, with a p-value = 0.9365. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q5

Mean response = 2.81. The t-statistic = -1.404, with a p-value = 0.1635. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q6

Mean response = 2.83. The t-statistic = -1.518, with a p-value = 0.1321. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q7

Mean response = 2.88. The t-statistic = -0.904, with a p-value = 0.3683. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q8

Mean response = 3.17. The t-statistic = 1.39, with a p-value = 0.1677. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q9

Mean response = 2.67. The t-statistic = -2.447, with a p-value = 0.0162. This result is Significant. Respondents tended to disagree more than neutral.

Q10

Mean response = 3.19. The t-statistic = 1.507, with a p-value = 0.135. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q11

Mean response = 3.0. The t-statistic = 0.0, with a p-value = 1.0. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q12

Mean response = 2.54. The t-statistic = -3.727, with a p-value = 0.0003. This result is Significant. Respondents tended to disagree more than neutral.

Q13

Mean response = 3.41. The t-statistic = 3.127, with a p-value = 0.0023. This result is Significant. Respondents tended to agree more than neutral.

Q14

Mean response = 3.49. The t-statistic = 3.819, with a p-value = 0.0002. This result is Significant. Respondents tended to agree more than neutral.

Q15

Mean response = 3.3. The t-statistic = 2.296, with a p-value = 0.0238. This result is Significant. Respondents tended to agree more than neutral.

Q16

Mean response = 3.18. The t-statistic = 1.304, with a p-value = 0.1954. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically significant.

Q17

Mean response = 2.63. The t-statistic = -2.829, with a p-value = 0.0056. This result is Significant. Respondents tended to disagree more than neutral.

Q18

Mean response = 2.89. The t-statistic = -0.86, with a p-value = 0.3916. This result is Not Significant. This means the responses are not statistically different from neutrality (3).

Q19

Mean response = 3.36. The t-statistic = 2.771, with a p-value = 0.0067. This result is Significant. Respondents tended to agree more than neutral.

9. Findings

1. Financial Impact

Families reported serious financial problems after accidental death (Q9, Q12, Q17).

Lack of savings and insurance worsened their situation.

2. Emotional Impact

Emotional effects were highly significant (Q13, Q14, Q15).

Families suffered long-term grief, stress, and trauma.

3. Demographic Role

Most demographic questions were not significant, meaning effects were common across all groups.

However, low-income families appeared more vulnerable.

4. Community Support

Some families reported receiving help from relatives/community (Q19), but it was not enough to reduce hardship.

10.Discussion

Financial stress and emotional trauma are the most significant impacts of accidental death in Vapi.

Nearly all families feel the burden, though poorer households struggle more.

While community help exists, stronger government schemes, insurance coverage, and counseling support are needed.

11.Conclusion

The study shows that accidental death has a profound impact on families in Vapi, mainly in two areas: financial hardship and emotional trauma. Families struggle with loss of income, lack of savings, and high expenses, while also facing long-term stress, grief, and psychological pain. Although some support comes from relatives or the community, it is not enough to reduce the burden. Demographic factors such as income and education influence the degree of impact, with low-income families being most affected.

Overall, the findings highlight the need for better financial protection (insurance, government schemes) and emotional support services (counseling, awareness programs) to help families cope with the challenges of accidental death.

12.References

1. American Academy of Pediatrics clinical report (2023) — Supporting families after the death of a child.
2. B. Ram et al., Measuring the burden of accidental injuries in India (Nature Humanities & Social Sciences Communications, 2022).
3. H.R. Scott et al., Systematic review on social support after sudden or violent bereavement (BMC Psychiatry, 2020).
4. https://vapicity.in
5. Ingles, J., et al. (2016) — Posttraumatic stress & prolonged grief after sudden death (cross-sectional study).
6. K.M. Keyes et al., Unexpected death of a loved one and psychiatric disorders (2014); M.D. Reed et al., Sudden death and bereavement outcomes (1993).
7. Matthews, L. R., et al. (2019) — PTSD, depression, and prolonged grief after traumatic/violent loss.
8. Melhem, N. M., et al. (2011) — Course of grief in children bereaved by sudden parental death.

9. Rogers, C. H., et al. (2008) — Long-term effects of the death of a child on parents. Keyes, K. M., et al. (2014) — The burden of unexpected death and mental-health outcomes.
10. S. Prinja et al., Economic Burden of Hospitalization Due to Injuries in India (2016).; R.P. Kumar et al., Economic burden of road traffic injuries among hospitalized patients (2023).
11. Scott, H. R., et al. (2020) — Systematic review: social support after sudden or violent bereavement.
12. World Bank, Traffic Crash Injuries and Disabilities: The Burden on Indian Society (report); Road Accidents in India & Role of The Family, IJFMR (2023).